

rbafs.org.br

# Perception of public places for physical activity among beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans: results of the National Health Survey 2019

Percepção de locais públicos para prática de atividade física entre beneficiários e não beneficiários de planos de saúde: resultados da Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde 2019

### AUTHOR'S

Felipe Mendes Delpino<sup>1</sup> Amanda Reis<sup>1</sup> Bruno Minami<sup>1</sup> Natalia Lara<sup>1</sup> José Cechin<sup>1</sup>

1 Instituto de Estudos de Saúde Suplementar, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil.

#### CORRESPONDING

Instituto de Estudos de Saúde Suplementar (IESS) *contato@iess.org.br* Rua Tabapuã, 1123 cj. 227 - Itaim Bibi - São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil. CEP: 04533-014

DOI

10.12820/rbafs.28e0299

CC BY

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution 4.0 International License.</u>

### ABSTRACT

This study aimed to evaluate the perception of public places for the practice of physical activity and compare these differences according to health plan beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries. We used data from the 2019 National Health Interview Survey. The outcome was assessed through the following question, "Near your home, is there a public place (square, park, enclosed street, beach) to walk, exercise or play sport?". Descriptive analyses were performed using frequency and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). The analyses were performed by comparing beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans and evaluating the results according to sociodemographic variables. The results include data from 20,230 beneficiaries (52.6% women) and 68,301 non-beneficiaries (54.6% women) of health plans, with most of the sample aged between 18 and 39. Overall, 52.1% of non-beneficiaries (95%CI: 51.0 - 53.2) and 67.4% of beneficiaries (95%CI: 65.8 - 68.9) reported having a place near their home for physical activity. We found a dose-effect trend regarding education level and places close to the residence to the practice of physical activity – the higher the level of education, the higher the perception of individuals who reported having adequate places for physical activity. Our findings showed that beneficiaries of health plans have more access to places near their homes to practice physical activity, as well as the most educated.

Keywords: Exercise; Physical activity; Built Environment.

### RESUMO

O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a percepção de locais públicos para a prática de atividade física e comparar essas diferenças segundo beneficiários e não beneficiários de planos de saúde. Foram utilizados dados da Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde de 2019. O desfecho foi avaliado por meio da seguinte questão: "Perto de sua casa, existe algum local público (praça, parque, rua fechada, praia) para caminhar, fazer exercícios ou praticar esportes?". Análises descritivas foram realizadas por meio de frequência e intervalo de confiança de 95% (IC95%). As análises foram realizadas comparando beneficiários e não beneficiários de planos de saúde e avaliando os resultados segundo variáveis sociodemográficas. Os resultados incluem dados de 20.230 beneficiários (52,6% mulheres) e 68.301 não-beneficiários (54,6% mulheres) de planos de saúde, ambos com maior parte da amostra com idades entre 18 e 39 anos. No geral, 52,1% dos não beneficiários (IC95%: 51,0 - 53,2) e 67,4% dos beneficiários (IC95%: 65,8 - 68,9) relataram ter um local próximo a sua casa para a prática de atividade física. Encontramos uma tendência dose-resposta em relação à escolaridade e locais próximos à residência para a prática de atividade física – quanto maior a escolaridade, maior a percepção de indivíduos que referiram ter locais adequados para a prática de atividade física. Nossos achados mostraram que os beneficiários de planos de saúde têm mais acesso a locais próximos a suas residências para praticar atividade física, assim como os mais escolarizados.

Palavras-chave: Exercício; Atividade física; Ambiente Construído.

# Introduction

Physical activity is fundamental for health maintenance and promotes several benefits, including body composition, reduction of cardiovascular risks, and prevention of premature mortality<sup>1–3</sup>. Its practice should start in the first years of life since its benefits begin in the short term<sup>4</sup>. In addition, habits that are started in the early years of life tend to be long-lasting and can carry over into adulthood, providing protection against various health problems<sup>5</sup>.

Physical activity can be done in open spaces or gyms. However, not everyone can afford to pay for gym memberships<sup>6</sup>, especially in low-income countries<sup>7</sup>, and choose to exercise in open spaces. However, most large cities do not offer public places for all residents to practice physical activity; usually, these places are in some areas of the city that are not easily accessible to everyone, especially those with lower incomes. Squares, parks, and enclosed streets are ideal places to practice physical activity because they usually offer places with terrain, adequate equipment, and wooded areas to perform the exercises.

In Brazil, there are few reports of studies that have assessed the perception of public places for physical activity. Evaluating these data, especially comparing differences between sociodemographic variables, may be essential for decision-making and investment in public places for physical activity<sup>8,9</sup>. In 2022, the Brazilian population is composed of just over 215 million inhabitants (https://www.ibge.gov.br/apps/populacao/projecao/index.html), of which approximately 23.2% have a medical health plan, according to data from the Beneficiary Follow-up Note, number 73 (https://iess.org.br/biblioteca/ periodico/nab/73a-nab). However, there are no reports of studies that have evaluated suitable places around the house for physical activity and compared differences among beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of private health plans. Conducting a study with health plan beneficiaries can help the plans themselves, corporations, and managers to implement actions aimed at encouraging physical activity within the corporate sector. These actions are likely to result in more active beneficiaries with less absenteeism from work and less utilization of health services. Among non-beneficiaries, the results can be used to create public policies aimed at implementing and improving public places for physical activity.

The latest edition of the National Health Survey, conducted in 2019, provides data for beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans and provides data on places near the respondent's homes to practice physical activity. Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the perception of public places for the practice of physical activity and compare these differences according to health plan beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries.

### Methods

We conducted a descriptive cross-sectional study with microdata from the National Health Survey 2019 (In Portuguese *Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde*) (NHS). The NHS data are public domain and available on the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) website (https://www.ibge.gov.br/).

The IBGE, in agreement with the Ministry of Health, conducted the NHS data collection between

June and August 2019. The target population was composed of residents in permanent private households. In the 2019 NHS edition, one resident aged 15 years or older from each household was randomly selected to answer the questionnaire. The sample was selected using the list of residents constructed at the time of the interview. Since our purpose was to evaluate adults and older adults, the sample was restricted to people 18 and older. The 2019 NHS included 108,457 households to ensure acceptable precision for the various estimation domains.

Our outcome of interest was the variable P046 of the NHS 2019 edition: "Near your home, is there a public place (square, park, enclosed street, beach) to walk, exercise or play sport?" The response options were no (0) or yes (1). As exposure factors, or independent variables, we utilized the following variables: Sex (male or female); age (18 to 39, 40 to 59, and 60 or more); education level (no education - incomplete elementary, elementary complete - medium incomplete, high school complete - higher education incomplete, and higher education complete); skin color (white, black, brown, other); and area (urban or rural).

We performed descriptive analysis to evaluate the perception of public places for physical activity stratified by beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans. The analyses were performed using frequency with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). The differences were considered statistically significant when the confidence intervals did not overlap. We performed the analyses in the statistical software Stata, version 15.1.

The 2019 NHS data edition was approved by the National Research Ethics Committee/National Health Council under protocol number 3,529,376.

# Results

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics among beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans. The prevalence of women was 1.8 percentage points higher among the beneficiaries but without statistically significant differences according to the confidence intervals. The sample composed of non-beneficiaries was younger, with 44.2% being 18 to 39 years old and 40.1% of the beneficiaries being that age. Health plan beneficiaries had higher rates of complete higher education, with 38.7% (95%CI: 37.3 - 40.2), while only 7.3% (95%CI: 7.0 - 7.7) of non-beneficiaries had this level of education. The proportion of individuals with white skin color was higher among the beneficiaries. At the same time, the non-beneficiaries had a higher

**Table 1** – Sociodemographic characteristics among beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans (2019). (Beneficiaries, n = 68,301; non-beneficiaries, n = 20,230; total sample = 88,531). NHS 2019.

Characteristics	Non- beneficiaries	Beneficiaries
	Prevalence and 95CI%	Prevalence and 95CI%
Sex		
Male	47.4	45.4
Wate	46.6 - 48.1	44.3 - 46.6
Female	52.6	54.6 53 4 - 55 7
Age	51.7 55.4	55.4 55.7
10	44.2	40.1
18 to 39	43.5 - 44.9	38.7 - 41.5
40 to 50	34.8	36.5
40 10 39	34.2 - 35.5	35.3 - 37.7
60 or more	20.9	23.4
	20.4 - 21.5	22.4 - 24.5
Education level		
No education - incomplete elementary	42.6 41.9 - 43.3	13.6 12.8 - 14.5
Elementary complete - medium	16.6	8.8
incomplete	16.1 - 17.1	8.2 - 9.6
High school complete - higher education	33.5	38.8
incomplete	32.8 - 34.2	37.5 - 40.1
Higher education complete	7.3 7.0 - 7.7	38.7 37.3 - 40.2
Skin color		
1871.:	37.0	60.0
vvnite	36.3 - 37.8	58.7 - 61.4
Black	12.6	8.3
Diack	12.2 - 13.1	7.7 - 9.0
Brown	48.9 48.2 - 49.7	29.9 28.8 - 31.1
Other	13.8	1.7
	12.2 - 15.6	1.4 - 2.1
Area		
Urban	82.2 81.7 - 82.8	96.8 96.5 - 97.1
Rural	17.8 17.2 - 18.3	3.2 2.9 - 3.5
Public place for physical activity		
No	47.9	32.6
	46.8 - 49.0	31.1 - 34.2
Yes	52.1 51.0 - 53.2	67.4 65.8 - 68.9

Environment for physical activity

Beneficiaries

Prevalence

Non-

beneficiaries

Prevalence

**Table 2** – Perception of public places for physical activity among<br/>beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans according to<br/>sociodemographic characteristics (2019). (Beneficiaries, n = 68,301;<br/>non-beneficiaries, n = 20,230; total sample = 88,531). NHS 2019.

Characteristics

	and 95CI%	and 95CI%
Sex		
Male	52.7 51.4 - 54.1	68.1 66.1 - 70.0
Female	51.5 50.2 - 52.8	66.8 65.0 - 68.5
Age		
18 to 39	54.0 52.7 - 55.4	67.4 65.0 - 69.7
40 to 59	52.6 51.0 - 54.2	68.9 66.8 - 70.9
60 or more	47.1 45.6 - 48.7	65,1 62.7 - 67.3
Education level		
No education - incomplete elementary	44.4 43.0 - 45.8	56.0 52.7 - 59.3
Elementary complete - medium incomplete	52.9 51.0 - 54.8	64.3 60.3 - 68.0
High school complete - higher education incomplete	58.6 57.1 - 60.1	64.5 62.1 - 66.7
Higher education complete	65.3 62.8 - 67.7	75.0 73.1 - 76.8
Skin color		
White	53.6 52.0 - 55.3	68.9 67.1 - 70.7
Black	55.1 52.9 - 57.3	68.3 64.3 - 71.9
Brown	50.1 48.9 - 51.4	63.8 61.5 - 66.0
Other	51.6 45.2 - 58.1	71.6 62.4 - 79.3
Area		
Urban	58.8 57.5 - 60.1	68.7 67.1 - 70.2
Rural	21.0 19.2 - 22.8	28.6 24.2 - 33.5
NHS = National Health Survey		
Figure 1 graphically reflects th	e differenc	es betwee
beneficiaries and non-beneficiari	es concerr	ning place

near the home for physical activity according to sociodemographic characteristics. The perception of public

places for physical activity was similar between genders (Table 2). The analyses by age group showed a reduction

among non-beneficiaries of health plans in the percent-

age of people who reported having places near their

homes to practice physical activity. Approximately 54%

of non-beneficiaries aged 18-39 reported an appropri-

ate place to practice physical activity, while among those

NHS = National Health Survey

prevalence of black, brown, or other (yellow or indigenous) skin color. The proportion of beneficiaries living in urban areas was almost 15 percentage points higher than that of non-beneficiaries. Overall, 52.1% (95%CI: 51.0 - 53.2) of non-beneficiaries reported having a place near their home for physical activity, while 67.4% (95CI: 65.8 - 68.9) of beneficiaries had such a place. aged 60 and over, the perception was 47.1%. Among individuals with health plans, there were no statistically significant differences between the age groups. Increased education level was significantly associated with appropriate places for physical activity; a dose-response effect was found - the higher the education level, the higher the percentage of a suitable place for physical activity. Skin color showed no significant differences in the perception of suitable places for physical activity for both beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries. In contrast, individuals living in urban areas reported a statistically significant higher perception of suitable places for physical activity compared to those in rural areas.

### Discussion

The present study evaluated the perception of public places for the practice of physical activity among beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans. We found that the health plan beneficiaries had a higher perception of public places for practicing physical activity. We also found differences according to age among non-beneficiaries of health plans, which may be explained by the fact that older people evaluate the availability of places for physical activity differently from younger people. Moreover, we found a dose-response effect among education levels, the higher the level, the greater the access to places for physical activity close to home. Individuals living in urban areas also had higher rates of places for physical activity close to home. Our results can be applied to the health area, especially to encourage physical activity, with the help of professionals guiding the residents about places that are suitable for exercise. In addition, it is necessary that the practice of physical activity be supervised by physical educators for the best results and lower chances of injury<sup>10,11</sup>. It is expected that this will result in more physical activity once people have more insight into environments for physical activity in their neighborhoods.

Prevalence of public place for physical activity among beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans according to sociodemographic characteristics. Brazil, 2019.



■ Non-beneficiaries ■ Beneficiaries

**Figure 1** – Perception of public places for physical activity among beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans according to sociodemographic characteristics. (Beneficiaries, n = 68,301; non-beneficiaries, n = 20,230; total sample = 88,531). NHS 2019.

In Brazil, a study with 820 participants from Santa Catarina showed that approximately 75% of the sample did not meet the minimum weekly physical activity recommendations. Similar to our findings, education was positively associated with physical activity level<sup>12</sup>. An article with 699 adults from Curitiba identified that the proximity and quantity of public spaces for physical activity were associated with higher levels of physical activity<sup>13</sup>. More evidence also showed that living close to places suitable for physical activity results in higher physical activity levels<sup>14</sup>. The neighborhood's income level was also associated with higher levels of physical activity<sup>15</sup>. Therefore, investing in reducing inequalities by building more places for physical activity in lower-income areas is likely to result in higher physical activity levels among the less privileged.

Our findings showed that public places for physical activity seem to be associated with individuals' income since education is an important proxy of income<sup>16</sup>, as well as a private health plan. In turn, it has been shown that adults with higher levels of education lead healthier lives compared to their less educated peers, demonstrating that education provides a dual role, as a driver of opportunity but also as a reproducer of inequality<sup>16,17</sup>. Moreover, beneficiaries of health plans are usually people with formal employment<sup>18</sup>, through corporate plans, which contributes to higher income and education, while the general population has higher rates of informal employment. Previous studies have already shown that inequalities are important mediators of physical activity, including factors such as older age and health problems<sup>19</sup>. Socioeconomic inequalities have also been pointed out as important indicators regarding physical activity, especially in middleand high-income countries<sup>20</sup>. Therefore, promoting a reduction in different inequality indices may result in greater adherence and access to places to practice physical activity, adding to reducing physical inactivity, which is already considered a pandemic and is the fourth leading cause of death in the world<sup>21</sup>.

Studies conducted in Brazil have identified other types of barriers to physical activity<sup>22,23</sup>. One of them was carried out with elderly women, in which more barriers than facilitators to physical activity were identified. The most reported barriers were physical limitation, lack of disposition, excessive care from the family, inadequate physical exercises, diseases, and lack of safety, among others<sup>22</sup>. In the other study, 222 women were evaluated, and barriers such as lack of companionship, lack of energy, and tiredness or discouragement were found<sup>23</sup>. Approaches that target populations and their differences, as well as the complex interactions between correlates of physical inactivity rather than individual approaches, can be a viable strategy to increase physical activity levels worldwide<sup>21</sup>. Data from Surveillance System of Risk and Protection Factors for Chronic Diseases by Telephone Survey (VIGITEL), indicated that individuals who had health insurance practiced more leisure-time physical activity than those who did not; these results are in line with the findings of our study<sup>24</sup>.

Among children and adolescents from Turkey, lack of time has been shown to be a barrier to physical activity<sup>25</sup>. These results may be even more worrisome among adults since most of them have several activities, such as work and caring for the family. Therefore, living near places with support for physical activity can contribute to improving physical activity by reducing the barrier of commuting time. Confirming this hypothesis, in a study with a population aged 55 to 75, it was identified that places near the neighborhood for physical activity could support physical activity intervention, helping to increase the levels of exercise among this population<sup>26</sup>.

This study used recent data from the largest population-based survey in Brazil, The National Health Survey 2019, which provides important results for decision-making and improving people's health. Our results provide important findings and may help to design policies aimed to reduce inequalities related to the availability of places for physical activity in places with fewer socioeconomic conditions. However, our outcome was assessed through a question that depends on the resident's knowledge about their neighborhood, which can often be inaccurate because not everyone knows the places around their home. Approaches such as spatial analysis can confirm our findings and provide more accurate results by showing the appropriate areas for physical activity through geographic views. In addition, the study brings only descriptive results and inferential analyses were not performed. This choice was made because the NHS is a cross-sectional study and inferential analyses could infer reverse causality. As strengths of the article, one can highlight the data from the 2019 PNS which includes one of the most recent population-based studies in Brazil. In addition, the division of the sample into beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans can provide important insights for both the public and private sectors.

In conclusion, our findings showed that health plan beneficiaries have more access to places near their

homes to practice physical activity, as well as the most educated individuals and those who live in urban areas. The construction of public places for physical activity for individuals with less access may result in higher levels of physical activity and, consequently, improvements in health indicators.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Funding

This work was carried out with the support of the Institute of Supplementary Health Studies (IESS).

#### Author's contributions

Delpino FM, Reis A, Minami B, Lara N and Cechin J participated in manuscript design, data analysis and interpretation.

### References

- 1. Bradbury KE, Guo W, Cairns BJ, Armstrong MEG, Key TJ. Association between physical activity and body fat percentage, with adjustment for BMI: a large cross-sectional analysis of UK Biobank. BMJ Open. 2017;7(3):e011843.
- Barbiellini Amidei C, Trevisan C, Dotto M, Ferroni E, Noale M, Maggi S, et al. Association of physical activity trajectories with major cardiovascular diseases in elderly people. Heart. 2022; 108(5):360–6.
- **3.** Zhao M, Veeranki SP, Magnussen CG, Xi B. Recommended physical activity and all cause and cause specific mortality in US adults: prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2020;370:2031.
- 4. Landry BW, Driscoll SW. Physical activity in children and adolescents. 2012 Nov;4(11):826–32.
- 5. Breckenkamp J, Blettner M, Laaser U. Physical activity, cardiovascular morbidity and overall mortality: Results from a 14-year follow-up of the German Health Interview Survey. J Public Health (Bangkok). 2004;12(5):321–8.
- 6. Nikolajsen H, Sandal LF, Juhl CB, Troelsen J, Juul-Kristensen B. Barriers to, and facilitators of, exercising in fitness cen-tres among adults with and without physical disabilities: A scoping review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(14):7341.
- 7. Withall J, Jago R, Fox KR. Why some do but most don't. Barriers and enablers to engaging low-income groups in physi-cal activity programmes: A mixed methods study. BMC Public Health. 2011;11(1):1–13.
- 8. Cohen DA, McKenzie TL, Sehgal A, Williamson S, Golinelli D, Lurie N. Contribution of public parks to physical ac-tivity. Am J Public Health. 2007;97(3):509–14.
- **9.** Bojorquez I, De Lourdes Romo-Aguilar M, Ojeda-Revah L, Tena F, Lara-Valencia F, García H, et al. Public spaces and physical activity in adults: insights from a mixed-methods study. Cad Saude Publica. 2021;37(1):e00028720.
- **10.** McKenzie TL, Lounsbery MAF. Physical education teacher effectiveness in a public health context. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2013;84(4):419–30.

- JF S, TL M. Physical education's role in public health. Res Q Exerc Sport. 1991;62(2):124–37.
- 12. Morais GL de, Rech CR, Schäfer AA, Meller F de O, Farias JM de. Nível de atividade física de adultos: associação com escolaridade, renda e distância dos espaços públicos abertos em Criciúma, Santa Catarina. Rev. Bras. Ciênc. Esporte. 2022;44:e010021.
- **13.** Hino AAF, Rech CR, Gonçalves PB, Reis RS. Acessibilidade a espaços públicos de lazer e atividade física em adultos de Curitiba, Paraná, Brasil. Cad Saude Publica. 2019;35(12):e00020719.
- 14. Hajna S, Ross NA, Brazeau AS, Bélisle P, Joseph L, Dasgupta K. Associations between neighbourhood walkability and daily steps in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health. 2015;15(1):1–8.
- **15.** Siqueira Reis R, Hino AAF, Ricardo Rech C, Kerr J, Curi Hallal P. Walkability and physical activity: Findings from curitiba, brazil. Am J Prev Med. 2013;45(3):269–75.
- **16.** Schnittker J. Education and the changing shape of the income gradient in health. J Health Soc Behav. 2004;45(3):286–305.
- **17.** Zajacova A, Lawrence EM. The relationship between education and health: reducing disparities through a contextual ap-proach. Annu Rev Public Health. 2018;39:273.
- **18.** Malta DC, Stopa SR, Pereira CA, Szwarcwald CL, Oliveira M, dos Reis AC. Private Health Care Coverage in the Brazilian population, according to the 2013 Brazilian National Health Survey. Cien Saude Colet. 2017;22(1):179–90.
- **19.** Hunter RF, Boeri M, Tully MA, Donnelly P, Kee F. Addressing inequalities in physical activity participation: Implica-tions for public health policy and practice. Prev Med (Baltim). 2015;72:64–9.
- **20.** Sfm C, van Cauwenberg J, Maenhout L, Cardon G, Lambert E v., van Dyck D. Inequality in physical activity, global trends by income inequality and gender in adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2020;17(1).
- Kohl HW, Craig CL, Lambert EV, Inoue S, Alkandari JR, Leetongin G, et al. The pandemic of physical inactivity: Glob-al action for public health. The Lancet. 2012;380(9838):294–305.
- 22. Krug RR, Lopes MA, Mazo GZ. Barreiras e facilitadores para a prática da atividade física de longevas inativas fisicamente. Rev Bras Med Esporte. 2015;21(1):57–64.
- 23. Gomes GA de O, Papini CB, Nakamura PM, Teixeira IP, Kokubun E. Barreiras para prática de atividade física entre mu-lheres atendidas na Atenção Básica de Saúde. Rev. Bras. de Cienc. do Esporte. 2019;41(3):263–70.
- 24. Las Casas RCR, Bernal RTI, Jorge AO, Melo EM4, Malta DM. Fatores associados à prática de Atividade Física na po-pulação brasileira - Vigitel 2013. Saúde em Debate. 2018;42(spe4):134–44.
- **25.** Daskapan A, Tuzun EH, Eker L. Perceived Barriers to Physical Activity in University Students. J Sports Sci Med. 2006;5(4):615.
- **26.** Colom A, Mavoa S, Ruiz M, Wärnberg J, Muncunill J, Konieczna J, et al. Neighbourhood walkability and physical activ-ity: moderating role of a physical activity intervention in overweight and obese older adults with metabolic syndrome. Age Ageing. 2021;50(3):963–8.

Received: 18/11/2022 Approved: 29/05/2023

#### Quote this article as:

Delpino FM, Reis A, Minami B, Lara N, Cechin J. Perception of public places for physical activity among beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of health plans: results of the National Health Survey 2019. Rev Bras Ativ Fís Saúde. 2023;28:e0299. DOI: 10.12820/rbafs.28e0299