Classifying the types of evidence produced on the Academia da Cidade Program: a scoping review

Classificando os tipos de evidências produzidas sobre o Programa Academia da Cidade: uma revisão de mapeamento

ABSTRACT

The Academia da Cidade program (ACP), linked to the Health Secretariat of Recife, Pernambuco since 2002, is a component of health policy focused on Primary Care and aims to promote physical activities, healthy eating and health education. The study aimed to map the scientific production on the ACP. This is a mapping review study with the following steps: (1) clarifying the research question; (2) identifying relevant studies; (3) selecting studies; (4) mapping the studies; (5) summarizing and reporting the results. Searches were made in the Virtual Health Library, Pubmed, Scielo and Lilacs databases. Were excluded articles that did not address PAC (n = 17), review articles (n = 2), and articles that analyzed other programs (n = 2) and 16 articles were excluded because they did not present the ACP as the focus of the analysis. Eight studies were identified on this theme. The study identified that most articles focus on types I and II of evidence and that only one article presented the theoretical contribution of policy science in its theoretical scope. We conclude that more research is needed to contribute with more reliable frameworks and methodologies, in line with the public policy analysis process.
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INTRODUCTION

Physical activity programs, especially those with actions in public health, have been highlighted in the past few years. Since then, physical activity (PA) has been seen as an important intervention strategy for health promotion and a modern subject in health policies, integrating actions in policies within the Brazilian public health system. Much of it is due to the association between quality of life and physical activity, given there is strong evidence that higher levels of physical activity are directly correlated to improvement in many dimensions of quality of life and that those benefits have been studied since 1950, showing a positive relationship between health and physical activity.

From that perspective, the Academia da Cidade Program (ACP), linked to the Health Secretariat of Recife since 2002, works as a component of health policy aiming at Primary Care and its goal is to promote physical activity, healthy diet, and health education, where the ACP actions are developed in a system within public open spaces such as parks and plazas. The body of literature on the ACP in Recife is focused on: quality of life, lifestyle, and participant...
satisfaction\textsuperscript{11-13}, evaluation of ACP activities\textsuperscript{14}, adherence and adhesion of participants\textsuperscript{10,15}, effects on the interventions of the ACP in Recife\textsuperscript{16} and articulation between the family health units and the ACP\textsuperscript{17}.

Though PA is recognized as an important theme in public health\textsuperscript{18} and it is a public policy matter\textsuperscript{19}, investigations on public health have not presented alignment with policy science theories\textsuperscript{20} and, therefore, do not support evidence connected to the development process of a policy\textsuperscript{21}. There are three types of classifications for evidence connecting public policy to PA: Type I – evidence linking physical activity and health outcomes (example: diabetes, hypertension); Type II – evidence linking interventions to behaviors related to physical activity (example: environments that influence physical activity); and Type III – evidence linking public policy to physical activity interventions, for example: political agendas, funding, implementation, among others\textsuperscript{21}.

That being said, it is necessary to assess the body of evidence produced by studies that analyzed ACP in the city of Recife, highlighting special interest in the three classifications of evidence and whether there is theoretical support from policy science in these studies. Therefore, this literature review aimed to answering the following question: What are the types of evidence produced by studies on ACP in Recife? Moreover, if the analyzed studies had theoretical or methodological support from policy science with a focus on policy analysis.

For screen investigation, it was established that the theoretical or methodological support from policy science by using theoretical frameworks or methodological procedures with epistemological base on policy science. It is important to highlight how this sort of analysis is of great significance for the ACP once this program is linked to a public health policy and, therefore, it is expected that research focusing on thus may clarify processes connected to the execution of local public policy, making the limits and possibilities clearer for governments.

Therefore, this research focused on classifying the scientific literature related to ACP–Recife into three categories of evidence used to develop policies for physical activity as proposed by Rutten et al.\textsuperscript{21}.

**Methods**

This is a scoping review\textsuperscript{22}, also reported in the literature as a mapping review\textsuperscript{23-24}. In general terms, the mapping review has been used to synthesize evidence to recognize and clarify the conceptual limits of a field or area of research that have not been determined\textsuperscript{25,26}. This review followed the methodological criteria established by Levac et al.\textsuperscript{27}: (1) to clarify the research question; (2) to identify relevant studies; (3) to select studies; (4) to map studies; (5) to summarize and report results. The search was conducted in the Virtual Health Library, Pubmed, Scielo and LILACS databases.

For the search of articles, the following keywords were used: “Programa Academia da Cidade” and “programas comunitários” and “atividade física” in Portuguese. The search was also conducted with descriptors in English: “Academia da Cidade Program” and “community program” and “physical activity”.

Then, the title for each selected article was read. Inclusion criteria were: a) original studies; b) conducted in Brazil; c) published in Portuguese or English; d) focus on analyzing the Academia da Cidade Program in Recife. The following were excluded from the analysis: thesis, dissertations, monographs, editorials, and review articles. The search was performed between the months of December 2017 and March 2018 by three researchers who participated in all phases of the study.

For analysis of the studies, the type of evidence was considered (type I, II, or III) and if the analyzed studies used any theoretical support common to policy analysis studies.

**Results**

Initially, 90 titles were identified in the databases, with...
Table 1 – Mapping of articles selected for analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>PICOS</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Type of evidence produced</th>
<th>Technical support from policy science?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fonte et al.11</td>
<td>ACP elderly participants; Leisure PA Sessions; Sociodemographics and QoL scores</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemos et al.15</td>
<td>ACP participants; Leisure PA Sessions; ACP adhesion; &gt;25 months of participation and weekly frequency &gt;3x associates with higher ACP adhesion</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feitosa et al.12</td>
<td>ACP Female participants; Leisure PA Sessions; Perception on improvement of QoL; Satisfaction with the PAC</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemos et al.10</td>
<td>ACP current and former participants; Adhesion factors and no adherence</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paes et al.13</td>
<td>ACP participants diagnosed with hypertension; Leisure PA Sessions; Behaviors related to the control of risk factors associated to hypertension</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallal et al.14</td>
<td>ACP participants and non-participants; Leisure PA Sessions; Participation, satisfaction, safety, perception of health</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallal et al.28</td>
<td>Professionals working in PAC; Leisure PA Sessions; Relevance, difficulties and involvement with the ACP community</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simões et al.16</td>
<td>Recife residents exposed and not exposed to PAC; Leisure PA Sessions and transport PA; Odd ratios: PA/socialdemographic; levels of exposure to PAC; reported health</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Yes (urban design, mixed land use and transport policy)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

45 being included in the analysis. Then, from the 45 selected titles, those which did not mention the theme of this review were excluded (n = 17), review articles (n = 2) and articles analyzing other programs (n = 18). Therefore, eight articles were analyzed through reading of the full manuscript. The selected studies were summarized for easier identification, categorization, and posterior analysis (Table 1).

When categorizing the types of evidence produced in the articles, it was noted that there were lack of studies with type III evidence. The type II evidence had the higher number of studies (n = 5) followed by type I (n = 3) and only one of these studies presented theoretical support common to policy analysis studies.

The studies classified as type I focused on reporting the effects of physical activity sessions offered by the ACP-Recife in the quality of life of participants\textsuperscript{11,12} and risk factors associated to hypertension in ACP participants\textsuperscript{13}.In addition, the type II studies reported how the Academia da Cidade Program relates to healthy lifestyles\textsuperscript{10}, effects in the physical activity levels of the population exposed to the ACP\textsuperscript{16}, satisfaction and exposure to the ACP\textsuperscript{14}, adhesion of ACP participants\textsuperscript{15} and program perception of professionals in the ACP\textsuperscript{28}.

Discussion

On a mapping review about physical activity public policy using the type of evidence available (Type I, II or III), Rutten et al.\textsuperscript{22} identified that studies focused on type III—those analyzing the formulation, implementation and agenda concerning public policy—were less likely to be found when compared to types I and II.

Even though the studies presented here focused on analyzing some aspect relation to a component of a health public policy, only one study presented theoretical support from policy science which is common to this type of analysis\textsuperscript{16}. However, the theoretical approach did not base the main analysis argument reported in the article, only being explained at the end of the analysis when the authors discuss the implications of the evaluated policy.

Even with this field of research presenting a signif-
significant increase in literature production\(^\text{19}\), a systematic review on the use of policy science theory in research for health promotion\(^\text{20}\) identified lack of theoretical support in studies in public health, which may be an impeding factor for the field of health promotion in assimilating the evidence on public policy research\(^\text{20}\). In the field of public policy in physical activity, this could indicate the understanding on the complexity of policy to implement actions to reduce physical inactivity\(^\text{20}\).

Beyond this analysis, the results show a contradiction in the body of literature analyzed in this review: despite the growth in the number of scientific publications on physical activity public policy, there is no evident discussion on the public policy analysis, where the term itself is not defined or it is seen as a plan or law\(^\text{30}\), even though it is important for researchers to choose the appropriate tools to help in the development of public policies through the evidence to retro feed those interested in a life cycle of a certain program public policy\(^\text{19,31}\).

To distinguish the three types of evidence that support research in health policies focusing on physical activity is useful to highlight the diversity of research in public health and physical activity and point towards knowledge frontiers yet to be investigated. In Brazil, even though studies of this nature have exponentially increased, many have not yet incorporated the theoretical frameworks nor the common methods utilized for policy analysis, which could determine the importance of physical activity as a component in health policy in Brazil, its limitations and frailties, as well as its potentials.

Also, the use of theoretical-methodological designs common to the policy analysis found in the literature would allow the identification of factors that can contribute to the success of the implementation of a health program or policy, revealing more efficient ways to treat physical inactivity, recognized as one of the greatest current challenges in public health.

It is important to highlight that the present study presents limitations. The review presented included only studies emphasizing the Academia da Cidade Program in the city of Recife, therefore, lacking other research that may have analyzed similar initiatives to the public policies in question. Nonetheless, there is a lack of research focusing on the analysis of public policies for physical activity, which can agree with the low prevalence of studies found on this subject.

We conclude more research is necessary to contribute to frameworks and methodologies more reliable and aligned with the process of analysis for public policies.
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